UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,557	01/03/2001	Earl Frederick Barrick	084377/0103	9807
28598 GEORGE MAS	INER			
	CHNOLOGY TRANS	RAMIREZ, JOHN FERNANDO		
4400 UNIVERSITY DRIVE FAIRFAX, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	3737	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	VHS	01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/752,557	BARRICK ET AL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John F. Ramirez	3737			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the reled patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. Period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communical ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q	<u>05 October 2006</u> .				
2a)[_	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)[S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,6,14,18-25 and</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-13,15-17,26 and 31</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,8-13,15-17 and 26</u> is/are ob Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	d 27-30 is/are withdrawn from orejected. Dijected to.	onsideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 🗌 1 10) 🔲 1	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	<i>M</i> -1	•				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	B) Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

After a review of applicant's remarks, all necessary changes to the claims have been entered. Accordingly, claims 18 and 27 have been cancelled.

Applicant's arguments filed on October 5, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Ferre et al. is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the Ferre et al. patent provides a method and a system to monitor and tracking the position and orientation of a medical instrument.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-13, 15-17, and 26 are objected to because of the following informalities: The examiner of record invites applicant to clarify and to provide support from the specifications for the amended claims in question, by using the terms "non-invasive" and "external curvature data". Appropriate correction is required.

In regards to amended claim 1, the strikethrough phrase "computer readable" in the last paragraph of the claim was not previously presented in the claim in question.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-13, 15-17, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "external curvature data" is considered to be new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-13, 15-17, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vilsmeier et al. (US 6,611,700) in view of Ferre et al. (US 5,967,980). Vilsmeier et al. teaches a device for performing surgery or therapeutic interventions on a patient, comprising: a first curvature sensor configured to be placed externally on a patient (col. 1, line 66 – col. 2, line 33), the first curvature sensor providing an output readable by a computer (col. 2, lines 7-23); an attachment fixture coupled to the first

Art Unit: 3737

curvature sensor (col. 2, lines 33-52), wherein the computer is configured to receive the output of the curvature sensor (col. 3, lines 54-59), a second curvature sensor providing an output to the computer, the second curvature sensor having a first end and a second end and capable of being coupled to the attachment fixture at the first end; and a tool connector coupled to the second end of the second curvature sensor (col. 3, line 60 col. 4, line 40), a second attachment fixture capable of being positioned at a known location with respect to the first curvature sensor, wherein the second end of the second curvature sensor is coupled to the second attachment fixture and the tool connector is coupled to the second curvature sensor between the first end and the second end (col. 4, lines 37-39), a monitor for positionally displaying the tool connector with respect to the patient (col. 2, lines 34-61; col. 3, lines 54-59), an optical tracking system electronically coupled to the computer and configured to positionally track the tool connector or a tool positioned in the tool connector (see claim 15), wherein the computer uses both the second curvature sensor and the optical tracking system to positionally track the tool connector or a tool positioned in the tool connector (col. 2. lines 34-61; col. 3, lines 54-59), wherein the computer is configured to determine an attachment fixture-centered frame of reference based on the output of the curvature sensor (col. 1, lines 20-34; col. 1, line 66 - col. 2, line 5), wherein the first curvature sensor comprises a fiber optic curvature sensor (col. 4, lines 37-39), wherein the attachment fixture comprises a latching mechanism configured for attaching to the first end of the second curvature sensor (col. 2, lines 33-61), the curvature sensor being configured to provide an output of the curvature the portion of the patient (col. 3, line 60

Art Unit: 3737

- col. 4, line 5), a device for generating a patient-based frame of reference for an image guided therapy or image guided surgery system (col.1, lines 20-34), means for registering a volumetric image of the body to the means for externally measuring the curvature of a body (col. 2, lines 33-52), a tool capable of being coupled to the second end of the second curvature sensor (col. 2, lines 53-61), and wherein the computer is configured to relate the curvature of the first curvature sensor to the location of the fiducials (col. 2, line 62 – col. 3, line 53), and a communication device electronically coupled to the computer and adapted to communicate the output of the computer to a distant receiver (col. 2, line 62 – col. 3, line 53), except for mentioning specifically an imageable fiducials and a sensor providing an output readable by a computer.

However, an imageable fiducials and a sensor providing an output readable by a computer are conventional in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Ferre et al. (US 5,676,673).

The Ferre et al. patent teaches a tracking and imaging system that uses radiopaque fiducial markers (col. 6, line 64 – col. 7, line 18) and a sensor providing an output readable by a computer (see abstract).

Based on the above observations, for a person of ordinary skill in the art, modifying the method disclosed by Vilsmeier et al., with the above discussed enhancements would have been considered obvious because such modifications would have provided a better position monitoring of a medical instrument with respect to a patient's body avoiding misaligned tracking of the device.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,557

Art Unit: 3737

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John F. Ramirez whose telephone number is (571) 272-8685. The examiner can normally be reached on (Mon-Fri) 7:30 - 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFR 12/20/06

ELENI MANTIS MERCADER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER